

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,981	02/18/2004	Christian Gobl	5454-4	3322
27799	7590 03/08/2005		EXAMINER	
COHEN, PO	ONTANI, LIEBERMAN	I & PAVANE	GUSHI,	ROSS N
551 FIFTH A	VENUE		ADTIBUT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK	, NY 10176		2833	
			DATE MAILED: 03/08/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
	10/780,981	GOBL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ross N. Gushi	2833				
The MAILING DATE of this commu Period for Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	-			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum serillure to reply within the set or extended period for reply any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION.  ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rununication.  (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of third statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ly will, by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on And filed 1/27/05					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
•						
Disposition of Claims	1, 2, 4, 5, 7-1					
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the	, ,					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ 5) 2 Claim(s) is/are allowed.	0,11					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	1, 2, 9					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to5, 7-9						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar					
•	ng the correction is required if the drawing	•	(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a clain	n for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priorit	y documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priorit	y documents have been received in A	pplication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies	s of the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage				
• •	ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office act	ion for a list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/780,981

Art Unit: 2833

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Regarding claim 2, the device as claimed where each pressure element has a second primary face and an opening connecting the first and second primary faces was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claim 2, the limitation that each pressure element has a second primary face is confusing and indefinite because the pressure elements do not have a first primary face. The pressure piece is claimed as having a first primary face on which the pressure elements are disposed. Is it not clear whether applicant is means that the pressure piece has a face and the pressure elements have a face or

Application/Control Number: 10/780,981 Page 3

Art Unit: 2833

whether the device has two primary faces in general or whether the pressure element has two faces. The limitation is given little weight.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in -

- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a);

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chan et al. ("Chan"). Per claim 1, Chan discloses a pressure piece 64 capable of effecting pressure contact on the module as recited, where the pressure piece is dimensionally stable and includes pressure elements on a primary face to space the primary face from a circuit board.

Per claim 2, the pressure piece has at least one edge, a second primary face and at least one opening connecting the first and second primary faces, and the pressure elements are disposed such that a fluid may flow between the at least one edge and the at least one opening.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2833

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chan as in claim 1.

Regarding claim 4, to the extent that Chan does not state that the piece is made of plastic, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to make it out of insulating stable plastic, such material being well known in the art. The selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended purpose would have been obvious. Sinclair & Carroll Col. V. Interchemical Corp., 65 USPQ 297 (1945); In re Leshin, 227 F.2d 197 (CCPA 1960).

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/27/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Chan does not disclose various claimed structures. The examiner disagrees, see previously supplied attachment where every claimed structure, including the primary face and the pressure elements is identified. Applicant argues that the structure is not used by Chan in the same way as applicant's device is. All that is required for the prior art reference structure to read on the claimed structure is that the prior art structure <u>be capable</u> of being used in the claimed manner. R.A.C.C. Industries Inc. v. Stun Tech Inc., 49 USPQ.2d 1793 (Ct. App. Fed. Cir. 1998). It is not

required that Chan discuss using the device in the same way as applicant is using the device.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5, 7, 8, and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims for the reasons previously indicated. Claims 10 and 11 are allowable.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ross Gushi whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number: 10/780,981 Page 6

Art Unit: 2833

2005. If attempts to reach the examiner by phone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley, can be reached at 571-272-2800 extension 33. The phone number for the Group's facsimile is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROSS GUSH